

## REMARKS

### **I. Objection to the Drawings**

Figure 1 was objected to because it was not noted as being prior art and reference numeral 105 was missing. A new Figure 1 is attached hereto with reference numeral 105 designating the internal memory.

The applicants traverses designating Figure 1 as prior art. The description section of the application refers to Fig. 1 (for example, see paragraph 12). In addition, the claims refer to the computer system as set forth in paragraph 12, which references Figure 1. Based on the foregoing, the applicants requests that the “prior art” phrase not be associated with Figure 1.

### **I. Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §101 and 25 U.S.C. §112**

Claims 1-5, 11-16, and 21-25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 for not producing a tangible result. Claims 2-5, 13-16, and 22-25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 for not producing concrete results. Claims 12-25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 for claiming non-statutory subject matter. Claims 5, 16 and 25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112. Claims 1-25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112.

Claims 1-25 have been cancelled and replaced with claims that overcome the aforementioned rejections. Accordingly, the rejections are moot.

In view of the foregoing, all pending claims are in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is requested.

Respectfully submitted,  
KLAAS, LAW, O'MEARA & MALKIN, P.C.

By: /Robert W. Nelson/  
Robert W. Nelson  
Registration No. 37,898  
1999 Broadway, Suite 2225  
Denver, CO 80202  
(303) 298-9888  
Fax: (303) 297-2266